UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,335	02/21/2006 Manfred Jungen		2003СН007	3143
25255 CLARIANT CO	7590 03/13/200 DRPORATION	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUA	AL PROPERTY DEPA	NGUYEN, KHANH TUAN		
4000 MONROE CHARLOTTE,	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		1	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/569,335		JUNGEN, MANFRED			
			Examiner		Art Unit			
		ŀ	KHANH T. NGI	JYEN	1796			
- Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commur r Reply	nication appea	ars on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress		
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Nations of time may be available under the provisions BIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coming by the maximum set to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months diparent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. tatutory period will a y will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS C (a). In no event, ho apply and will expinates ause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be tin e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this (0) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>RCE fi</i>	ilad 01/25/201	18				
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>RCE, filed 01/25/2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
′—		<i>'</i> —			secution as to th	e merits is		
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
-	· · — · · -		from conside	eration.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or e	election requir	ement				
		otion ana/or o	noonon roquii	omont.				
Application	on Papers							
-	he specification is objected to by th							
10)⊠ 7	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 February 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the dra	awing(s) be he	d in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction	n is required if	he drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11) 🔲 🛭	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exan	miner. Note th	e attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (lation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) [5) [6) [Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/25/2008 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. The amendment filed on 01/25/2008 is entered and acknowledged by the Examiner. Claims 1-14 are currently pending in the instant application. Claims 15-27 have been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1796

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Traber et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,200,948).

With respect to claims 1 and 5, Traber discloses an aqueous textile auxiliary formulation comprising of 10-60 wt. % of a nonionic surfactant component (a) having a formulate

$$R_6$$
—O—(CHCH₂O $\frac{1}{m_2}$ H₂

wherein R_6 is a linear C_8 - C_{13} alkyl radical, Y_5 may be a hydrogen or methyl, and m_2 has an average value of 3 to 15 (Col. 1, line 12, Col. 2, lines 26-30 and Col. 2, lines 50-62) and 10-60 wt. % of one or more nonionic surfactant component (b) having a formulate

$$R_7$$
—O—(CH—CH₂O $\frac{1}{n_2}$ H
 Y_6

wherein R₇ is a linear C₈-C₁₈ alkyl radical, Y₆ may be a hydrogen, methyl or ethyl, and n₂ has an average value of 1 to 40 (Col. 1, line 20, Col. 2, lines 26-30 and Col. 3, line 57 to Col. 4, line 5). Traber teaches the said aqueous textile auxiliary formulation may further comprises 0-20 wt. % of a third nonionic surfactant component (d) (Col. 1, lines 30-35 and lines 50-62). The disclosure of nonionic surfactant component (a), nonionic surfactant component (b) and optionally nonionic surfactant component (d) are considered readable on the claimed component (A) which contains at least two distinct alkoxylates of formula (I). Traber further teaches the said aqueous textile auxiliary formulation comprising 0-30 wt. % of a chelating agent or sequestering agent component (f) which is readable on the claimed component (B) (Col. 1, line 40 and Col. 6, line 1 to Col. 7, line 21). The said aqueous textile auxiliary formulation may further comprises 4-20 wt. % of a hydrotropic agent component (c) which is readable on the claimed component (C) (Col. 4, line 5 to Col. 5, line 12). Traber also discloses the said aqueous textile auxiliary formulation may contain 0-8 wt. % of magnesium salt in context is the sulfate or its heptahydrate and in particular the chloride or its hexahydrate, preferred salt is magnesium chloride hexahydrate (Col. 1, line 38-39 and Col. 5, lines 60-67). The disclosure of magnesium chloride hexahydrate salt component (e) is readable on the claimed component (D). Traber further teaches the said aqueous textile auxiliary formulation may comprising of 0-10 wt. % of a diol or polyol component (g) and 0-60 wt. % of water component (h) (Col. 1, lines 41-42).

The difference between the instant claimed application and Traber reference is Traber does not teach the specific claimed combination of components (A) to (D). However, it would have been obvious to one having the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to select a combination of components [(a), (b), (c), (e), and (f)] of Traber from a small list of ingredients disclosed by Traber to arrive at the claimed composition. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success because such as a textile treating composition containing components (A) to (D) is expressly suggested by Traber disclosure and therefore is an obvious formulation.

With respect to claim 2, Traber further discloses an aqueous mixture wherein R1 is a linear or branched C8-C15-alkyl radical, R2 is hydrogen or methyl, and n has an average value of 5 to 9 (component (a) and (b)); B) is citric acid (Col. 4, line 38), sodium gluconate (chelating or sequestering agent), an alpha- hydroxyl polyacrylate, ATMP, HEDP, DTPMPA, EDTMPA, PBTC, salts of these phosphonates or mixture therefo; C) is cumenesulphonic acid, naphthalenesulphonic acid (i.e. hydrotropic additive), an alkali metal salt of cumenesulphonic acid, an alkali metal salt of naphthalenesulphonic acid, an ammonium salt of naphthalenesulphonic acid, an ammonium salt of naphthalenesulphonic acid; and D) is magnesium chloride, magnesium sulphate (i.e. magnesium salt), calcium chloride or calcium sulphate. (Col. 1, lines 6-65)

With respect to claim 3, Traber further discloses an aqueous mixture wherein R1 is a linear or branched C12-C15-alkyl radical, R2 is hydrogen or methyl, and n has an average value of 6 or 7 (component (a) and (b)); B) is citric acid (Col. 4, line 38), sodium

Art Unit: 1796

gluconate (sequestering agent), DTPMPA, or mixture thereof; C) is cumenesulphonic acid (i.e. hydrotropic agent), an alkali metal salt of cumenesulphonic acid, an ammonium salt of cumenesulphonic acid; and D) is magnesium chloride or magnesium sulphate (i.e. magnesium salt). (Col. 1, lines 6-65)

With respect to claim 4, Traber further discloses an aqueous mixture wherein B) is citric acid (Col. 4, line 38) and sodium gluconate (sequestering agent), C) is sodium cumenesulphonate (i.e. hydrotropic agent), and D) is magnesium chloride (i.e. magnesium salt). [(Col. 7, lines 35-67) and (Col. 8, lines 1-23)]

With respect to claim 6, Traber further discloses an aqueous mixture wherein in A1) R1 is a branched C8-C12-alkyl radical, R2 is hydrogen or methyl, and n has an average value of 5 to 9 (component (a)); and in A2) wherein R1 is a linear or branched C10-C17-alkyl radical, R2 is hydrogen or methyl, and n has an average value of 4 to 8 (component (b)), and B) is citric acid (Col. 4, line 38), sodium gluconate (chelating or sequestering agent), an alpha- hydroxyl polyacrylate or ATMP, HEDP, DTPMPA, EDTMPA, PBTC or salts of these phosphonates or mixture therefo; C) is cumenesulphonic acid, naphthalenesulphonic acid (i.e. hydrotropic additive), an alkali metal salt of cumenesulphonic acid, an alkali metal salt of naphthalenesulphonic acid, an ammonium salt of naphthalenesulphonic acid, an ammonium salt of naphthalenesulphonic acid, an ammonium salt of

Art Unit: 1796

magnesium salt), calcium chloride or calcium sulphate. (Col. 1, lines 6-65 and Col. 2,

lines 4-10).

With respect to claim 7, Traber further discloses an aqueous mixture wherein A1) R1 is a branched C10-alkyl radical, R2 is hydrogen, and n has an average value of 7 (component (a)); and in A2) wherein R1 is a linear or branched C12-C15-alkyl radical, R2 is hydrogen, and n has an average value of 6 (component (b)); and B) is citric acid (Col. 4, line 38), sodium gluconate (sequestering agent), DTPMPA or mixture thereof; C) is cumenesulphonic acid, an alkali metal salt of cumenesulphonic acid (sequestering agent), an ammonium salt of cumenesulphonic acid; and D) is magnesium chloride or magnesium sulphate (i.e. magnesium salt). (Col. 1, lines 6-65 and Col. 2, lines 4-10).

With respect to claim 8, Traber further discloses an aqueous mixture wherein A1) is an alkoxylate of a linear or branched C10-alcohol or mixture thereof having on average 8 ethylene oxide units (moles) and 1 propylene oxide unit (moles); and A2) is an alkoxylate of a linear or branched C12-C15-alcohol having on average 7 ethylene oxide units (Moles); and B) is a mixture of citric acid (Col. 4, line 38) and sodium gluconate (sequestering agent); C) is cumenesulphonic acid (sequestering agent); and D) is magnesium chloride (i.e. magnesium salt). (Col. 1, lines 6-65, Col. 2, lines 4-67 and Col.3, lines 1-11).

With respect to claim 9, Traber further discloses an aqueous mixture wherein B) is a mixture of citric acid (Col. 4, line 38) and sodium gluconate (sequestering agent); C) is cumenesulphonic acid (sequestering agent); and D) is magnesium chloride (i.e. magnesium salt). (Col. 1, lines 6-65, Col. 2, lines 4-10, Col. 7, lines 35-67 and Col. 8, lines 1-23).

Page 8

With respect to claim 10, Traber further discloses an aqueous mixture wherein said component A has a concentration of 1% to 40% by weight, said component B has a concentration of 1% to 20% by weight, said components C and D each have a concentration of 0.1% to 10% by weight, based on the aqueous mixture. (Col. 1, lines 6-65).

With respect to claim 11, Traber further discloses an aqueous mixture wherein said component A has a concentration of 7% to 20% by weight, said component B has a concentration of 2% to 10% by weight, said components (C) and (D) each have a concentration of 0.4% to 5% by weight, based on the aqueous mixture. (Col. 1, lines 6-65).

With respect to claim 12, Traber further discloses an aqueous mixture wherein said component A has a concentration of 14% to 20% by weight, said component B has a concentration of 3% to 8% by weight, said components (C) and (D) each have a

Art Unit: 1796

concentration of 0.6% to 2.5% by weight, based on the aqueous mixture. (Col. 1, lines 6-65).

With respect to claim 13, Traber further discloses an aqueous mixture further comprising a antifoaming agent and a defoamer (foaming-suppressing component). (Col.1, lines 66-67 and Col. 2, lines 1-3).

With respect to claim 14, Traber further discloses a textile pretreated with the aqueous mixture according to claim 1. (Col. 7, line 12-21).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHANH T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-8082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-5:00 EST PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1796

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark Kopec/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

KTN 02/25/2008